

L. CHRISTOPHER ROSE, ESQ.
Nevada Bar No. 7500

Email: lcr@juww.com

TYLER N. URE, ESQ.

Nevada Bar No. 11730

Email: tnu@juww.com

JOLLEY URG WIRTH WOODBURY & STANDISH

3800 Howard Hughes Pkwy., #1600

Las Vegas, Nevada 89169

Telephone: (702) 699-7500

Facsimile: (702) 699-7555

Attorneys for Plaintiffs Sandy Cooper
and Garden Meadow, Inc.

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

DISTRICT OF NEVADA

SANDY COOPER, an individual, GARDEN
MEADOW, INC., a Connecticut corporation,

Plaintiffs,

vs.

NINGBO XINGQIANG METALLIC
PRODUCTS CO., LTD., a Chinese company,

Defendant.

CASE NO. 2:11-cv-00745-RLH-GWF

**ORDER GRANTING PLAINTIFFS'
MOTION FOR ORDER TO SHOW
CAUSE REGARDING CONTEMPT AND
HOLDING DEFENDANT IN CONTEMPT
OF COURT**

Before the Court is Plaintiffs' Motion for Order to Show Cause Regarding Contempt (Docket No. 29) and the Court's Order to Show Cause Regarding Contempt (Docket No. 33). For the reasons discussed below, and based on the findings and conclusions set forth herein, the Court finds that Defendant is in contempt of court and grants Plaintiffs' motion.

I. FACTUAL BACKGROUND AND PROCEDURAL HISTORY

Plaintiffs Sandy Cooper and Garden Meadow, Inc. ("Garden Meadow") filed this action on May 10, 2011 against Defendant Ningbo Xingqiang Metallic Products Co., Ltd. ("Ningbo") alleging claims for copyright infringement and seeking a preliminary and permanent injunction enjoining Ningbo from infringing upon Garden Meadow's copyrighted works. (Docket No. 1). Garden Meadow is engaged in the business of manufacturing and distributing solar products and

1 garden accessories, and its copyrighted works include a variety of solar lighting, garden
2 sculptures, citronella candles, storage units and ice coolers, cabanas, and other outdoor living
3 products. Garden Meadow's products are distributed worldwide and its consumers include
4 major domestic and international retailers. *See id.* Upon Garden Meadow's application for a
5 restraining order and motion for a preliminary injunction (Docket Nos. 5-6), the Court issued a
6 temporary restraining order on May 11, 2011, to enjoin Ningbo's infringement (Docket No. 8)
7 and a preliminary injunction on May 25, 2011, likewise enjoining Ningbo from infringing on
8 Garden Meadow's copyrighted works. (Docket No. 15). Ningbo was duly served with the
9 Complaint, Summons, Application for Temporary Restraining Order, Motion for Preliminary
10 Injunction, and the Temporary Restraining Order and Order to Show Cause Regarding
11 Permanent Injunction at the Las Vegas Convention Center during the National Hardware Show.
12 (See Docket Nos. 5 and 9). Ningbo did not oppose the temporary restraining order or
13 preliminary injunction. Furthermore, Ningbo did not appear at the show cause hearing to oppose
14 the requested injunctive relief. The Preliminary Injunction Order was granted and filed May 25,
15 2011. (Docket No. 15).

16
17
18 After Ningbo failed to respond to the Complaint, Garden Meadow filed its request for
19 entry of default. (Docket No. 16). Default was entered (Docket No. 17) and Garden Meadow
20 filed its application for default judgment. (Docket No. 19). The application for default judgment
21 and supporting declarations were likewise duly served upon Ningbo (Docket No. 23). On
22 September 2, 2011, this Court granted a Default Judgment against Ningbo, awarding Garden
23 Meadow damages, interest and attorneys' fees. (Docket No. 24). Furthermore, in the default
24 judgment, the Court issued a permanent injunction enjoining Ningbo and its servants, agents,
25 employees, successors and assigns, and all persons acting in concert or privy with them, from
26 copying, making, manufacturing, using, possessing, selling, offering for sale, disclosing,
27
28

1 exhibiting, reproducing, creating derivative works from, distributing, shipping, licensing,
2 developing, delivering, marketing, advertising, displaying or promoting any products that
3 infringe on Garden Meadow's copyrighted works as enumerated in the default judgment. *See id.*
4 Ningbo was duly served with the default judgment on September 6, 2011. (Docket No. 27).

5
6 In April 2012, Garden Meadow found a number of Ningbo's infringing products for sale
7 on a website, www.alibabba.com. (Docket No. 30). The six products Ningbo offered for sale on
8 the website infringe upon six of Garden Meadow's copyrighted works that were included in the
9 permanent injunction entered as part of the default judgment. (Docket Nos. 30 and 24). As a
10 result, Garden Meadow filed its motion to reopen this case and for an order to show cause
11 regarding contempt. (Docket No. 29). This Court granted the motion to reopen and issued an
12 order for Ningbo to appear and show cause why it should not be held in contempt of court.
13 (Docket No. 33). Ningbo was duly served with the Motion to Re-Open Case and the Order to
14 Show Cause Regarding Contempt, the Memorandum in support of that motion, and this Court's
15 Order granting the motion to re-open and ordering Ningbo to show cause why it should not be
16 held in contempt of court. (Docket No. 42).

17
18 Ningbo did not file an opposition to Garden Meadow's motion nor did Ningbo appear at
19 the show cause hearing on February 13, 2013.

20 **II. FINDINGS OF FACT AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW**

21
22 1. The Factual Background and Procedural History above is hereby incorporated in
23 its entirety into the Court's findings of fact and conclusions of law.

24 2. Ningbo violated this Court's Order (Docket No. 24) to appear before the Court
25 and show cause why Ningbo should not be held in contempt of court for violating the permanent
26 injunction.
27
28

1 3. Ningbo offered for sale a number of infringing products that infringe upon
2 Garden Meadow's copyright in U.S. Copyright Registration Nos. VA 1-425-762, VA 1-668-337,
3 VA 1-747-291, VA 1-668-335, VAu 1-036-279, and VA 1-684-990.

4 4. Ningbo's conduct as set forth herein has at all times been willful, intentional,
5 malicious and in blatant disregard for Garden Meadow's copyrights or this Court's orders,
6 including the permanent injunction. Ningbo at all times was aware that its acts constituted
7 infringement of Garden Meadow's copyrights.

8 5. Ningbo's conduct is especially egregious given that this is the second time
9 Ningbo has infringed on the same copyrighted works at issue in this action. The willfulness and
10 egregious nature of Ningbo's conduct is also demonstrated by a second action Garden Meadow
11 was required to file against Ningbo in this district regarding yet further alleged infringements,
12 Case Number 2:21-cv-00698-JCM-GWF, of which this Court takes judicial notice.


13 6. Ningbo's conduct as set forth herein constitutes a violation of the Permanent
14 Injunction. (Docket No. 24).

15 7. Ningbo failed to show cause why it should not be held in contempt of court for
16 violating the permanent injunction.

17 8. Pursuant to 17 U.S.C. § 504(c), Garden Meadow is entitled to damages for
18 Ningbo's contempt. 17 U.S.C. § 504(c)(1) allows for statutory damages of up to \$30,000 for
19 each copyright infringement, and 17 U.S.C. § 504(c)(2) allows for statutory damages of as much
20 as \$150,000 for each infringement when the infringement was committed willfully.

21 9. In awarding damages set forth in the Default Judgment (Docket No. 24), this
22 Court found that Ningbo's conduct was willful and awarded damages under 17 U.S.C. §
23 504(c)(2) in the amount of \$150,000 for each infringement. (Docket Nos. 19 and 24).

11. Pursuant to 17 U.S.C. § 505, Garden Meadow is entitled to an award of attorneys' fees and costs. Based on the affidavit of Garden Meadow's counsel, the Court awards fees of \$7,831.50.


UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE